Last Updated: February 2016

FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. DEC. 2015)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CHOOSE DISTRICT: DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

United States of America	PLAINTIFF
mica states of America	PLAINTIFF

v. CIVIL ACTION
No. 3:16-cv-34-CWR-FKB

Third Coast Towing, LLC, et al.

DEFENDANTS

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

This Order, including all deadlines, has been established with the participation of all parties and can be modified only by order of the Court on a showing of good cause supported with affidavits, other evidentiary materials, or reference to portions of the record.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1.	ESTIMATED DAYS OF TRIAL:	7-10	
	ESTIMATED TOTAL NUMBER OF WITNESSES:	10-14	
	EXPERT TESTIMONY EXPECTED: Yes	NO. OF EXPERTS:	6-8

2. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION [ADR].

Alternative dispute resolution techniques appear helpful and will be used in this civil action as follows:

Private mediation or a settlement conference with the Court is required in this matter. The parties are to schedule and complete same by the discovery deadline.

3. CONSENT TO TRIAL BY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

The parties do not consent to trial by a United States Magistrate Judge.

Form 1 (ND/SD miss	S. DEC. 2015)
----------	------------	---------------

	_			
4	- 13	ICCI	OCI	JRE.
₹.			A // >	. / P. P.

	The pre-discovery disclosure requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P.26(a)(1) and U.L.Civ.R. 16(d) and 26 (a) have been complied with fully.
5.	MOTIONS; ISSUE BIFURCATION. Standard machining on his machine of the issues for trial in accordance with FED. B. CIV. B. 42
	Staged resolution, or bifurcation of the issues for trial in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 42 (b) will assist in the prompt resolution of this action. Accordingly, the Court orders that:
	See Briefing Schedule attached as Exhibit A.
	Early filing of the following motion(s) might significantly affect the scope of discovery or otherwise expedite the resolution of this action:
	Defendants Nature's Way and Environmental Pollution Group, LLC's (EPG) Motions for Summary Judgment on their counterclaim. United States' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment on the counterclaim of Nature's Way and EPG.
6.	DISCOVERY PROVISIONS AND LIMITATIONS.
	A. Interrogatories are limited to 30 succinct questions.
	B. Requests for Production are limited to succinct questions.
	C. Requests for Admissions are limited to 30 succinct questions.
	D. Depositions are limited to the parties, experts, and no more than

_______ fact witness depositions per party without additional approval of the Court.

EODA 1	(ND/SD	MICC	DEC	201	5
FORM I	(ND/SD	MISS.	DEC.	2U I	Э.

E.	The parties have complied with the requirements of Local Rule 26(e)(2)(B) regarding discovery
	of electronically stored information and have concluded as follows [The parties MUST state
	whether or not there is ESI and, if so, how they propose to address it]:

The parties are in the process of negotiating the terms of a Stipulation on Discovery procedures which will be submitted to the Court once it is executed by the parties. The parties must submit the Stipulation to the undersigned by February 3, 2017.

F.	The court imposes the following further discovery provisions or limitations:
	1. The parties have agreed that defendant may obtain a Fed. R. Civ. P. 35 (L.U.Civ.R. 35) medical examination of the plaintiff (within subpoena range of the court) by a physician who has not examined the plaintiff, and that defendant may arrange the examination without further order of the court.
√	2. Pursuant to Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules of Evidence, the attorney-client privilege and the work-product protections are not waived by any disclosure connected within this litigation pending before this Court. Further, the disclosures are not waived in any other federal or state proceeding.
	3. Plaintiff must execute an appropriate, HIPAA-compliant medical authorization.
√	4. The court desires to avoid the necessity of filing written discovery motions where court participation in an informal discussion of the issue might resolve it, even after the parties have been unsuccessful in a good faith attempt to do so. Consequently, before a party may serve any discovery motion, counsel must first confer in good faith as required by F.R.Civ.P. 37(a)(1). If the attorney conference does not resolve the dispute, counsel must contact the chambers of the magistrate judge to request a telephonic conference to discuss the issue as contemplated by F.R.Civ.P. 16(b)(3)(v). Only if the telephonic conference with the judge is unsuccessful in resolving the issue may a party file a discovery motion.
√	5. Other:
	For purposes of the limitations in section 6A-D above, Nature's Way and EPG will be treated as one

party, and Third Coast and Great American will be treated as one party.

FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. DEC. 2015)

Additional Provisions:

Discovery is hereby stayed pending a decision on the cross-motions for summary judgment on the counterclaim of Nature's Way and EPG. Another telephonic case management conference (TCMC) will be set after a ruling on the summary judgment cross-motions, and a scheduling order will be entered at that time.

7.	SC	HEDULING DEADLINES			
	A.	Trial. This action is set for <u>JURY TRIAL</u> during a <u>two-week</u> term of court			
		beginning on:, at 9:00 , a.m. , in Jackson ,			
		Mississippi, before United States District Judge Carlton W. Reeves .			
		ANY CONFLICTS WITH THIS TRIAL DATE MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING TO THE TRIAL JUDGE IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT OF THIS CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER.			
	В.	Pretrial. The pretrial conference is set on:, at 9:00, a.m,			
		in <u>Jackson</u> , Mississippi, before United States <u>District</u>			
		Judge Carlton W. Reeves .			
	C.	Discovery. All discovery must be completed by:			
	D.	Amendments. Motions for joinder of parties or amendments to the pleadings must be			
		filed by:			
	E.	Experts. The parties' experts must be designated by the following dates:			
		1. Plaintiff(s):			
		2. Defendant(s):			

FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. DEC. 2015)

8.	MOTIONS. All dispositive i	notions and Dauberi	t-type motions	challenging	another party	's expert
	must be filed by:	T.	The deadline fo	or motions in	limine is four	teen days
	before the pretrial conference	e; the deadline for re	esponses is sev	en days before	ore the pretrial	·
	conference.					
9.	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	·•				
	A SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	is set on:	~~~~	_, at <u>9:00</u>	, <u>a.m.</u>	in
	Jackson , Miss	sissippi, before Unite	ed States Mag	istrate	Judge	
	F. Keith Ball					
	Seven (7) days before the sett	element conference, t	the parties mu	st submit via	e-mail to the	magistrate
	judge's chambers an updated	CONFIDENTIALS	SETTLEMEN'	T MEMOR A	NDUM Allr	arties are
:	required to be present at the c	onference unless exc	cused by the C	Court. If a par	ty believes the	e scheduled
	settlement conference would not be productive and should be cancelled, the party is directed to inform					
the Court via e-mail of the grounds for their belief at least seven (7) days prior to the conference.						erence.
10	. REPORT REGARDING ADR.	On or before (7 day	ys before FPT	C)		, the parties
	must report to the undersign	ed all ADR efforts the	hey have unde	rtaken to cor	nply with the	Local Rules or
	provide sufficient facts to su	pport a finding of ju	ist cause for fa	ilure to comp	oly. See L.U.C	Civ.R.83.7(f)(3).
o Or	RDERED:					
12 1 10	2017	/s/ F. Keith Ball				
/31/2 DATE		UNITED STATES	S MACISTD A	TE ILIDGE		
72 X I L	-	UNITED STATES	O IVIAUIOI KA	TEJUDUE		

Briefing Schedule

Objections to Administrative Record Schedule:

February 3, 2017 - Filing of Objections to Administrative Record

February 10, 2017 - Responses to Objections to Administrative Record

February 17, 2017 - Reply to Responses to Objections to Administrative Record

Administrative Counter-Claim Summary Judgment Briefing Schedule:

March 3, 2017 - Counterclaimants' Motion for Summary Judgment

March 31, 2017 - United States' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply to Counterclaimants' Motion for Summary Judgment

April 21, 2017 - Counterclaimants' Rebuttal in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply to United States' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment

May 5, 2017 - United States' Rebuttal in Support of its Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment